



SILVER47

TSXV:AGA | OTCQB:AAGAF | Frankfurt: QP2

Creating a Premier U.S. High Grade Silver Developer

CORPORATE PRESENTATION

OCTOBER 2025

Forward-Looking Statements

This presentation includes certain “forward-looking information” and “forward-looking statements” (collectively “forward-looking statements”) within the meaning of applicable Canadian and United States securities legislation including the United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements are made as of the date of this presentation. Forward-looking statements are frequently, but not always, identified by words such as “expects”, “anticipates”, “believes”, “plans”, “projects”, “intends”, “estimates”, “envisages”, “potential”, “possible”, “strategy”, “goals”, “objectives”, or variations thereof or stating that certain actions, events or results “may”, “could”, “would”, “might” or “will” be taken, occur or be achieved, or the negative or any of these terms and similar expressions.

Forward-looking statements in this presentation relate to future events or future performance and reflect current estimates, predictions, expectations or beliefs regarding future events and include, but are not limited to, statements with respect to: (i) the Company's focus on advancing its assets towards production; (ii) realizing the value of the Company's projects for the Company's shareholders; (iii) future prices of gold, silver, base metals and certain other commodities; and (iv) the timing and amount of estimated future production. All forward-looking statements are based on the Company's or its consultants' current beliefs as well as various assumptions made by them and information currently available to them. There can be no assurance that such statements will prove to be accurate, and actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. Forward-looking statements reflect the beliefs, opinions and projections on the date the statements are made and are based upon a number of assumptions and estimates that, while considered reasonable by the respective parties, are inherently subject to significant business, economic, competitive, political and social uncertainties and contingencies. Many factors, both known and unknown, could cause actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from the results, performance or achievements that are or may be expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements and the parties have made assumptions and estimates based on or related to many of these factors. Such factors include, without limitation: reliability of historical data; fluctuations in the spot and forward price of gold, silver, base metals or certain other commodities; fluctuations in the currency markets (such as the Canadian dollar versus the U.S. dollar); changes in national and local government, legislation, taxation, controls, regulations and political or economic developments; risks and hazards associated with the business of mineral exploration, development and mining (including environmental hazards, industrial accidents, unusual or unexpected formations, pressures, cave-ins and flooding); the presence of laws and regulations that may impose restrictions on mining; employee relations; relationships with and claims by local communities, indigenous populations and other stakeholders; availability and increasing costs associated with mining inputs and labour; the speculative nature of mineral exploration and development; title to properties; and the additional risks described in the Company's disclosure documents filed with the Canadian securities regulatory authorities under the Company's SEDAR+ profile at www.sedarplus.com.

Silver47 cautions that the foregoing list of factors that may affect future results is not exhaustive. When relying on our forward-looking statements to make decisions with respect to Summa Silver, investors and others should carefully consider the foregoing factors and other uncertainties and potential events. Silver47 does not undertake to update any forward-looking statement, whether written or oral, that may be made from time to time by the Company or on our behalf, except as required by law.

The potential quantity and grade of any exploration target in this presentation is conceptual in nature, there has been insufficient exploration to define a mineral resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in the exploration target being delineated as a mineral resource. Mineralization hosted on adjacent and/or nearby and/or geologically similar properties is not necessarily indicative of mineralization hosted on the Company's property.

The securities of the Company have not and will not be registered under the U.S. Securities Act of 1933, as amended (“U.S. Securities Act”) or any state securities law and may not be offered or sold within the United States unless an exemption from the registration requirements of the U.S. Securities Act is available. Accordingly, any offer or sale of securities will only be offered or sold (i) within the United States pursuant to available exemptions from the registration requirements of the U.S. Securities Act in a private placement transaction not involving a public offering and (ii) outside the United States in offshore transactions in accordance with Regulations S of the U.S. Securities Act. Neither the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, nor any other U.S. authority, has approved this Presentation. This Presentation does not constitute an offer to sell, or the solicitation of an offer to buy, any securities in the United States.

QP Statement

Galen McNamara, P.Geo., the Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and a qualified person pursuant to NI 43-101, has reviewed and approved the scientific and technical information contained in this presentation. Mr. McNamara has verified the data disclosed herein, including sampling and drilling data underlying the technical information contained herein, by reviewing blanks, duplicates and certified reference material that the Company inserted into the sample stream and confirming that they fall within limits as determined by acceptable industry practice.

Silver47 2024 Drill Results Notes

Full details of 2024 drill results, including collar tables, are available in Silver47 News Releases dated November 18, 21, and 26, 2024 at silver47.ca. There are no drilling, sampling, recovery or other factors that could materially affect the accuracy or reliability of the 2024 drill data at Dry Creek or West Tundra Flats. Quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) protocols for drill core sampling at the Red Mountain Project followed industry standard practices. Core samples were typically taken at 1.0m intervals in mineralized zones, and 3.0m intervals outside of mineralized zones. Sample lengths were adjusted as necessary so as not to cross lithologic and mineralogic boundaries. QA/QC check samples were inserted into the sample stream with one blank, one duplicate (coarse), and one certified reference material (CRM) occurring within every 20 samples. Drill core was cut in half, bagged, sealed and delivered directly to ALS Minerals, Fairbanks Alaska for transport to the ALS Minerals Laboratories labs in North Vancouver, British Columbia. ALS Mineral Laboratories are registered to ISO 9001:2008 and ISO 17025 accreditations for laboratory procedures. Core samples were analyzed at ALS Laboratory facilities in North Vancouver using four-acid digestion with an ICP-MS finish. Gold analysis was by fire assay with atomic absorption finish, or gravimetric finish for over-limit samples. Over-limits for silver, zinc, copper, and lead were analyzed using Ore Grade four-acid digestion. The standards, certified reference materials, were acquired from CDN Resource Laboratories Ltd. of Langley, British Columbia and selected to represent expected mineralization.

Creating a Leading High-Grade US-Focused Silver Developer



Combined resources totalling **236 Moz AgEq** at **334 g/t AgEq Inferred** and **10 Moz AgEq** at **333 g/t AgEq Indicated**



Goal of achieving **1 billion ounces AgEq** anchored in **America's most prolific mining jurisdictions**



Significant re-rate potential based on an **EV/oz metric of US\$0.37/oz AgEq** on the total MI&I resource endowment



Enhanced capital markets profile and liquidity with increased access to institutional investors seeking exposure to **high-grade US silver projects**



Red Mountain
ALASKA, USA

» **36.1m @ 672 g/t AgEq***
(183 g/t Ag, 1.02 g/t Au, 0.22% Cu, 7.8% Zn+Pb) DC98-40

» **6.1m @ 1,988 g/t AgEq***
(385 g/t Ag, 5.50 g/t Au, 1.23% Cu, 22.2% Zn+Pb) DC18-79



Hughes
NEVADA, USA

» **2.8m @ 3,971 AgEq****
(2,252 g/t Ag, 21.6 g/t Au) SUM21-30

» **18.5m @ 536 g/t AgEq****
(286 g/t Ag, 3.1 g/t Au) SUM20-06



Mogollon
NEW MEXICO, USA

» **31m @ 448 g/t AgEq****
(129 g/t Ag, 3.88 g/t Au) MOG22-05

» **23.2m @ 433 g/t AgEq****
(134 g/t Ag, 3.66 g/t Au) MOG23-16

Refer to Page 28 for notes on Mineral Resource Estimates.

* Equivalencies are calculated using ratios with metal prices of US\$2,750/tonne Zn, US\$2,100/tonne Pb, US\$8,880/tonne Cu, US\$1,850/oz Au, and US\$23/oz Ag and recoveries of 90% Zn, 75% Pb, 70% Cu, 70% Ag, and 80% Au. $\text{AgEq}^* (\text{g/t}) = [\text{Zn} (\%) \times 47.81] + [\text{Pb} (\%) \times 30.43] + [\text{Cu} (\%) \times 119] + [\text{Ag} (\text{g/t}) \times 1] + [\text{Au} (\text{g/t}) \times 91.93]$

** AgEq : US\$20/oz Ag, US\$1,800/oz Au, with metallurgical recoveries of Ag - 90% and Au - 95%. $\text{AgEq} = (\text{Ag grade} \times \text{Ag recovery}) + (\text{Au grade} \times \text{Au recovery}) \times (\text{Au price} / \text{Ag price})$.

Significant Re-Rate Potential

US\$ EV / oz

\$0.37

\$1.61

\$2.69

\$2.94

\$3.05

Company

Silver47

Blackrock
Silver

Outcrop
Silver

Dolly
Varden

Argenta
Silver

Project

Red Mountain

Hughes

Mogollon

Tonopah West

Santa Ana

Kitsault Valley

El Quevar

Location

Alaska, USA

Nevada, USA

New Mexico, USA

Nevada, USA

Colombia

BC, Canada

Argentina

Stage

MRE

PEA

MRE

MRE

MRE

Market Capitalization

\$CAD

\$150M

\$255M

\$176M

\$577M

\$234M

M&I

oz AgEq

–

10.3M

–

21.1M

24.2M

46.4M

45.3M

Inferred

oz AgEq

168.6M

35.7M

32.1M

86.9M

13.5M

86.7M

4.1M

M&I Grade

g/t AgEq

–

333

–

493

614

347

482

Inferred Grade

g/t AgEq

336

300

367

526

435

395

417

Market Capitalizations as of October 6th, 2025 and based on a USD/CAD FX rate of 1.40.

Sources: Sedar Plus and 43-101 technical reports. Please see the disclaimer for Comparables on the page titled "Forward Looking Statements".

Management Team



Gary R. Thompson

Executive Chairman

Seasoned geologist with a proven track record in exploration and company building. Current Chairman and CEO of Brixton Metals. Has held leadership roles across several successful ventures, including Sierra Geothermal Power, which was sold in 2010.



Galen McNamara

CEO & Director

Co-founder of Summa Silver and geologist with 20 years of discovery and capital markets experience, co-founder of Goldshore Resources and Sanu Gold, former Senior Project Manager at NexGen Energy, PDAC Bill Dennis Prospector of the Year Award Winner, Pivotal role in equity financings totaling over \$100M since 2020.



Martin Bajic

CFO

Chartered professional Accountant with over a decades experience serving as a director, CFO, or consultant to numerous public companies trading on the TSX venture with a focus in the natural resource sector.



Chris York

VP Operations

Economic geologist with 20 years experience focused on sediment hosted and epithermal narrow vein deposits, former Exploration Manager for Klondex Gold and Silver running all field activities.



Giordy Belfiore

VP Investor Relations

Extensive experience as an investor relations and corporate development professional in the Metals & Mining industry, spanning public and private companies. Played a pivotal role in helping Summa Silver raise over \$50M since its inception.



Board of Directors

Gary R. Thompson

Executive Chairman

Seasoned geologist with a proven track record in exploration and company building. Current Chairman and CEO of Brixton Metals. Has held leadership roles across several successful ventures, including Sierra Geothermal Power, which was sold in 2010.

Ryan Goodman

Director

Legal expert in the mining industry, currently serving as VP, Legal for Orezone Gold (TSX:ORE) and previously at Aura Minerals (TSX:ORA). Mr. Goodman's legal acumen and understanding of the regulatory landscape are key assets to Silver47.

Galen McNamara

CEO & Director

Co-founder of Summa Silver and geologist with 20 years of discovery and capital markets experience, co-founder of Goldshore Resources and Sanu Gold, former Senior Project Manager at NexGen Energy, PDAC Bill Dennis Prospector of the Year Award Winner, Pivotal role in equity financings totaling over \$100M since 2020.

Thomas O'Neill

Director

Mr. O'Neill is the President of O'Neill Group Global (subsidiary of Axis Insurance Group), a Vancouver-based insurance and financial planning firm. Mr. O'Neill has more than 35 years of experience in the financial planning field, and provides expert strategic advice to his clients, including mining and forestry companies and their executives. His firm specializes in insurance consulting, wealth management, pension and group benefits advice.


Capital Structure

Recent Share Price[*]	\$0.87
Market Cap[*]	\$150M
Common Shares Issued	172.5M
Incentive Stock Options	14.3M
Restricted Share Units	3.6M
Warrants	53.6M
Working Capital	~\$27M
Debt	Nil
Total Fully Diluted	244M

^{*} As of October 6th 2025

All dollar values in CAD

Shareholder Distribution



Why Silver?



Significant Scarcity

Only four pure silver mines active in the United States



Looming Supply Deficit

Total demand for 2025 is forecasted to be ~1,148M ounces compared to mine production of only ~835M ounces



Essential for a Low Carbon Economy

~35% of silver production is consumed by electric vehicles and solar energy



Hedge against Monetary Instability

Rising consumer prices accompany economic growth and increase demand for goods that use silver



Unique Properties

Silver is the best electrical conductor, most thermal efficient & displays the highest optical reflectivity of any metal



Historically Undervalued

Current silver to gold mine supply ratio of 7:1 and price ratio of 85:1

Source: Silver Institute, World Silver Survey 2025

Mineral Resource Summary

Classification	Project	Tonnes	Ag	Au	Zn	Pb	Cu	AgEq	Ag	Au	Zn	Pb	Cu	AgEq
		(Mt)	(g/t)	(g/t)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(g/t)	(Moz)	(koz)	(kt)	(kt)	(kt)	(Moz)
Inferred	Red Mountain	15.6	71	0.4	3.4	1.4	0.2	336	36.0	214	532	216	26	168.6
Indicated	Hughes	1.0	188	1.6	-	-	-	333	5.8	49	-	-	-	10.3
Inferred	Hughes (In Situ)	2.4	204	2.4	-	-	-	421	15.9	188	-	-	-	32.9
Inferred	Hughes (Tailings)	1.3	44	0.3	-	-	-	68	1.8	11	-	-	-	2.7
Inferred	Mogollon	2.7	139	2.7	-	-	-	367	12.1	238	-	-	-	32.1
Total Indicated Mineral Resources		1.0	188	1.6	-	-	-	333	5.8	49	-	-	-	10.3
Total Inferred Mineral Resources		22.0	98	0.9	2.4	1.0	0.1	334	65.8	651	532	216	26	236.3


Refer to Page 28 for notes on Mineral Resource Estimates.

The background image shows a vast, rugged mountain range under a clear sky. In the foreground, on the left, there is a drilling rig with a long, angled mast and various mechanical components. The mountains in the distance are layered, with some peaks appearing more prominent than others. The overall scene conveys a sense of industrial activity in a remote, natural setting.

Red Mountain Project

ALASKA, USA

335.7 g/t AgEq




Dry Creek Long Section

Legend

Drill Hole Pierce Point

- >500 AgEq*
- >150 AgEq*
- <150 AgEq*




Equivalencies are calculated using ratios with metal prices of US\$2,750/tonne Zn, US\$2,100/tonne Pb, US\$8,880/tonne Cu, US\$1,850/oz Au, and US\$23/oz Ag and recoveries of 90% Zn, 75% Pb, 70% Cu, 70% Ag, and 80% Au. AgEq* (g/t) = [Zn (%) x 47.81] + [Pb (%) x 30.43] + [Cu (%) x 119] + [Ag (g/t) x 1] + [Au (g/t) x 91.93]

West Tundra Flats Long Section

Legend

Drill Hole Pierce Point


- >500 AgEq*
- >150 AgEq*
- <150 AgEq*
- Assay Pending



Equivalencies are calculated using ratios with metal prices of US\$2,750/tonne Zn, US\$2,100/tonne Pb, US\$8,880/tonne Cu, US\$1,850/oz Au, and US\$23/oz Ag and recoveries of 90% Zn, 75% Pb, 70% Cu, 70% Ag, and 80% Au. AgEq* (g/t) = [Zn (%) x 47.81] + [Pb (%) x 30.43] + [Cu (%) x 119] + [Ag (g/t) x 1] + [Au (g/t) x 91.93]

Securing US Critical Minerals

- ✓ Potential for fast tracked permitting and non-dilutive government funding.
- ✓ Red Mountain hosts many critical minerals scarce in the U.S., including zinc, tin, antimony and gallium*.
- ✓ Silver, copper & lead recommended for inclusion to critical mineral list.
- ✓ Summer drill program will evaluate critical mineral potential to support domestic supply chain security.



*Source: USGS, 2025

Hughes Project


NEVADA, USA

Located in the heart of the famous historic Tonopah District and covering 5km of its possible eastern extension, the Hughes Property represents a unique opportunity to both revitalize a historic district and make new discoveries in the shadows of the headframes of some of America's great historic silver producers.

Galen McNamara, CEO and Director


Hughes Project Highlights

Robust Resource Along Prolific Walker Lane Trend



Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resources for the Hughes Project are presented using a 190 g/t AgEq cutoff grade for in situ resources, and a 45 g/t AgEq cutoff grade for resources in tailings. For Hughes Project Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resources, AgEq is based on silver and gold prices of US\$25/oz and US\$2,100/oz, respectively, and metallurgical recoveries of 90% and 97% for silver and gold, respectively. $\text{AgEq Factor} = (\text{Ag Price} / \text{Au Price}) \times (\text{Ag Rec} / \text{Au Rec})$; $\text{g AgEq/t} = \text{g Ag/t} + (\text{g Au/t} / \text{AgEq Factor})$. *AgEq is calculated using US\$20/oz Ag, US\$1,800/oz Au, with metallurgical recoveries of Ag - 90% and Au - 95%. $\text{AgEq} = (\text{Ag grade} \times \text{Ag recovery}) + ((\text{Au grade} \times \text{Au recovery}) \times (\text{Au price} / \text{Ag price}))$. Silver and gold grades comprising AgEq are listed on page titled "Hughes Property Drilling" in this presentation. Sources: Company websites, presentations, and 43-101 technical reports.

Mineralization hosted on adjacent and/or nearby and/or geologically similar properties is not necessarily indicative of mineralization hosted on the Company's property.



Tonopah Mining District



Location

Situated along the prolific Walker Lane trend




Size & Scale

175 Moz Ag & 1.9 Moz Au produced



Access & Infrastructure


Highway access, water, grid power



AgEq is based on silver and gold prices of US\$25/oz and US\$2,100/oz respectively, and recoveries for silver and gold of 90% and 97%, respectively for the Hughes Project, and 97% and 97%, respectively, for the Mogollon Project. AgEq Factor= (Ag Price / Au Price) x (Ag Rec / Au Rec); g AgEq/t = g Ag/t + (g Au/t / AgEq Factor).

Hughes Project Drilling

	Hole ID	Length (m)	Ag (g/t)	Au (g/t)	AgEq (g/t)
Belmont	SUM21-30	2.8	2,252	21.6	3,971
	<i>incl.</i>	0.9	5,969	60.2	10,790
	SUM21-31	4.3	913	7.86	1,529
	<i>incl.</i>	0.6	4,338	56.5	8,989
	SUM20-20	1.4	1495	16.9	2,867
	<i>incl.</i>	0.7	2,910	33.1	5,598
	SUM20-06	18.5	286	3.1	536
	<i>incl.</i>	2.5	1,762	19.99	3,385
	SUM20-19	0.8	1480	15.15	2,696
	SUM20-21	2.0	103	4.58	505
Ruby	<i>incl.</i>	0.4	460	24.7	2,637
	SUM20-01	2.3	805	3.77	1,064
	<i>incl.</i>	0.8	1,870	5.53	2,181
	SUM21-42	5.7	337	2.1	492
	<i>incl.</i>	0.9	1,301	7.86	1,878
	SUM23-59	3.0	812	8.4	1,450
	<i>incl.</i>	0.6	1,635	17.4	2,959
	SUM20-17	0.9	560	5.19	971
	SUM21-40	6.1	253	2.53	455
	<i>incl.</i>	0.9	543	5.42	977
Murray					



*AgEq is calculated using US\$20/oz Ag, US\$1,800/oz Au, with metallurgical recoveries of Ag - 90% and Au - 95%.
 $AgEq = (Ag\ grade \times Ag\ recovery) + ((Au\ grade \times Au\ recovery) \times (Au\ price / Ag\ price))$. True thicknesses are estimated to be 70-80% of drilled intercept length

Hughes Project Long Section

Legend

Drill Hole Pierce Point

- >1,000 AgEq*
- >500 AgEq*
- >150 AgEq*
- <150 AgEq*


1,450 g/t AgEq*
3.0m Intercept Length

Historic Tonopah Mining District
175M oz Ag, 1.86M oz Au
679 g/t Ag, 7.3 g/t Au**

Areas of Focus for Ongoing Exploration

4.2 km

Eastern Extension Open and Unexplored




*AgEq is calculated using US\$20/oz Ag, US\$1,800/oz Au, with metallurgical recoveries of Ag - 90% and Au - 95%.
 AgEq = (Ag grade x Ag recovery) + ((Au grade x Au recovery) x (Au price / Ag price)).

6.2 km

**Source: United States Geological Survey, 2018
 Figure is schematic and pierce point locations are approximate
 Looking North

District Comparison

District	Strike Length	Million ounces Ag	Million ounces Au
Tonopah, Nevada	4 km	175	1.9
Guanajuato, Mexico	18 km	>1,000	4
San Dimas, Mexico	7.5 km	582	11
Pachuca, Mexico	7.5 km	1,500	6.2
Comstock, Nevada	5 km	200	8.3



Source: USGS

Mineralization hosted on adjacent and/or nearby and/or geologically similar properties is not necessarily indicative of mineralization hosted on the Company's property.

*AgEq is calculated using US\$20/oz Ag, US\$1,800/oz Au, with metallurgical recoveries of Ag - 90% and Au - 95%. $\text{AgEq} = (\text{Ag grade} \times \text{Ag recovery}) + ((\text{Au grade} \times \text{Au recovery}) \times (\text{Au price} / \text{Ag price}))$. True thicknesses are estimated to be 70-80% of drilled intercept length. Silver and gold grades comprising AgEq are listed on page titled "Hughes Property Drilling" in this presentation


Mogollon Project

NEW MEXICO, USA

The Mogollon district presents a very rare discovery opportunity, and for good reason. It is my strong belief that the district has the potential to be one of the great remaining vein fields still left in the United States

Galen McNamara, CEO and Director

Mogollon Project Highlights



INFERRED

32.08M AgEq
Contained within 2.72 Mt

367 g/t AgEq



MRE covers only **2.4 of the 77 km**
of known vein and structure present



Excellent metallurgical recoveries
97% Silver & 98% Gold



Significant Resource upside
with under-explored targets


-  Mogollon Project Boundary
-  Inferred Resource
-  Veins and Faults

Inferred Mineral Resources for the Mogollon Project are presented using a 175 g/t AgEq Cutoff Grade. For Mogollon Project Inferred Mineral Resources, AgEq is based on silver and gold prices of US\$25/oz and US\$2,100/oz respectively, and metallurgical recoveries of 97% and 97% for silver and gold, respectively, $\text{AgEq Factor} = (\text{Ag Price} / \text{Au Price}) \times (\text{Ag Rec} / \text{Au Rec})$; $\text{g AgEq/t} = \text{g Ag/t} + (\text{g Au/t} / \text{AgEq Factor})$.

*AgEq is calculated using US\$20/oz Ag, US\$1,800/oz Au, with metallurgical recoveries of Ag - 90% and Au - 95%. $\text{AgEq} = (\text{Ag grade} \times \text{Ag recovery}) + ((\text{Au grade} \times \text{Au recovery}) \times (\text{Au price} / \text{Ag price}))$. True thicknesses are estimated to be 70-80% of drilled intercept length

Mogollon Project Drilling

Hole ID	Length (m)	Ag (g/t)	Au (g/t)	AgEq (g/t)
MOG22-05	31.0	129	3.88	448
incl.	1.8	220	19.80	1,891
incl.	0.5	638	66.80	6,286
incl.	2.0	431	9.32	1,185
MOG22-10	1.7	73	1.37	183
and	0.8	102	1.93	256
and	1.2	182	3.00	420
and	16.6	207	3.00	445
incl.	9.9	306	4.26	640
incl.	2.0	1002	12.90	2,004
MOG23-16	0.7	92	2.44	292
and	1.0	57	1.08	144
and	0.8	21	1.00	104
and	23.2	134	3.66	433
incl.	1.1	484	26.30	2,686
and	1.4	88	1.27	197
MOG22-12	15.6	60	2.29	250
incl.	2.4	115	5.56	579
and	1.2	28	1.32	138
and	2.5	37	1.78	185
and	10.3	59	2.12	234
incl.	4.2	98	4.13	442



LEGEND


- Drill hole pierce point
- Historic underground sample
- >500 g/t AgEq*
- >100 g/t AgEq*
- >50 g/t AgEq*
- <50 g/t AgEq*

393
7.4m

—● g/t AgEq*


—● Intercept Length

Mogollon Project Long Section



*Silver equivalent is calculated using US\$20/oz Ag, US\$1,800/oz Au with metallurgical recoveries of Ag - 90% and Au - 95%

Mogollon Project Outline & Target Areas



Upcoming Catalysts and Work Plan

**Well capitalized to
Deliver on Several
Near-Term Catalysts**

- » Significant resource expansion drill programs at Red Mountain, Hughes, & Mogollon planned for 2025
- » Updated resource at Red Mountain anticipated for Q1 2026 with PEA to follow
- » Further assessment of critical mineral potential at Red Mountain
- » Ongoing review of **accretive M&A opportunities** with a goal towards **1 billion ounces** AgEq anchored in **America's most prolific mining jurisdictions**



SILVER47

TSXV:AGA | OTCQB:AAGAF | Frankfurt: QP2

For more information, please contact



Galen McNamara

CEO & Director
info@silver47.ca



Silver47.ca



[@Silver47](https://twitter.com/Silver47)

Notes to Mineral Resources

Notes on Red Mountain Project Mineral Resources:

1. The 2024 Red Mountain MRE was estimated and classified in accordance with the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum ("CIM") "Estimation of Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves Best Practice Guidelines" dated November 29, 2019, and the CIM "Definition Standards for Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves" dated May 10, 2014.
2. Mr. Warren Black, M.Sc., P.Geo. of APEX Geoscience Ltd., a QP as defined by NI 43-101, is responsible for completing the 2024 Mineral Resource Estimate, effective January 12, 2024.
3. Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves have not demonstrated economic viability. No mineral reserves have been calculated for Red Mountain. There is no guarantee that any part of the mineral resources discussed herein will be converted to a mineral reserve in the future.
4. The estimate of mineral resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, market, or other relevant factors.
5. The quantity and grade of reported Inferred Resources is uncertain, and there has not been sufficient work to define the Inferred Mineral Resource as an Indicated or Measured Mineral Resource. It is reasonably expected that most of the Inferred Mineral Resources could be upgraded to Indicated Mineral Resources with continued exploration.
6. All figures are rounded to reflect the relative accuracy of the estimates. Totals may not sum due to rounding. Reported grades are undiluted.
7. A standard density of 2.94 g/cm³ is assumed for mineralized material and waste rock. Overburden density is set at 1.8 g/cm³. For mineralized material blocks with iron assays close enough to estimate an iron value for the block, density is calculated using the formula: density (g/cm³) = 0.0553 * Fe (%) + 2.5426.
8. Metal prices are US\$2,750/tonne Zn, US\$2,100/tonne Pb, US\$8,880/tonne Cu, US\$1,850/oz Au, and US\$23/oz Ag.
9. Recoveries are 90% Zn, 75% Pb, 70% Cu, 70% Ag, and 80% Au.
10. $ZnEQ\ (\%) = [Zn\ (\%) \times 1] + [Pb\ (\%) \times 0.6364] + [Cu\ (\%) \times 2.4889] + [Ag\ (ppm) \times 0.0209] + [Au\ (ppm) \times 0.1923]$
11. $AgEQ\ (ppm) = [Zn\ (\%) \times 47.81] + [Pb\ (\%) \times 30.43] + [Cu\ (\%) \times 119] + [Ag\ (ppm) \times 1] + [Au\ (ppm) \times 91.93]$
12. Open-pit resource economic assumptions are US\$3/tonne for mining mineralized and waste material, US\$19/tonne for processing, and 48" pit slopes.
13. Underground resource economic assumptions are US\$50/tonne for mining mineralized and waste material and US\$19/tonne for processing.
14. Open-pit resources comprise blocks constrained by the pit shell resulting from the pseudoflow optimization using the open-pit economic assumptions.
15. Underground resources comprise blocks below the open-pit shell that form minable shapes. They must be contained in domains of a minimum width of 15 m at Dry Creek or 3 m height at West Tundra Flats. Resources not meeting these size criteria are included if, once diluted to the required size, maintain a grade above the cutoff.
16. Equivalencies are calculated using ratios with metal prices of US\$2,750/tonne Zn, US\$2,100/tonne Pb, US\$8,880/tonne Cu, US\$1,850/oz Au, and US\$23/oz Ag and recoveries of 90% Zn, 75% Pb, 70% Cu, 70% Ag, and 80% Au. $AgEq^* (g/t) = [Zn\ (\%) \times 47.81] + [Pb\ (\%) \times 30.43] + [Cu\ (\%) \times 119] + [Ag\ (g/t) \times 1] + [Au\ (g/t) \times 91.93]$

Notes to Hughes Project & Mogollon Project Resources:

1. Silver Equivalent (AgEq) cut-off grade for the Hughes Project in situ Mineral Resources is based on a silver price of \$25/oz, recovery of 90% Ag, and cost assumptions including: US\$88.2/t average mining cost for approximately 70% longhole stoping and 30% cut and fill mining, US\$36.3/t processing cost, US\$9.7/t G&A cost, US\$0.20/oz Ag refining cost for a total mining, processing and G&A cost of US\$134.2/tonne. A 3% royalty has also been applied to the cut-off grade determination.
2. Silver Equivalent (AgEq) cut-off grade for the Hughes Project tailings Mineral Resources is contained within an optimized pit and based on a silver price of \$25/oz, recovery of 90% Ag, and cost assumptions including: US\$22.25/t mining cost, US\$21.0/t processing cost, US\$9.7/t G&A cost, US\$0.50/oz Ag refining cost for a total mining, processing and G&A cost of US\$33.34/tonne. A 3% royalty has also been applied to the cut-off grade determination.
3. Silver Equivalent (AgEq) cut-off grade for the Mogollon Project Mineral Resources is based on a silver price of \$25/oz, recovery of 97% Ag, and cost assumptions including: US\$83/t mining cost for longhole stoping, US\$36.3/t processing cost, US\$9.7/t G&A cost, US\$0.20/oz Ag refining cost for a total mining, processing and G&A cost of US\$129/tonne. A 3% royalty has also been applied to the cut-off grade determination.
4. AgEq is based on silver and gold prices of \$25/oz and \$2100/oz respectively, and recoveries for silver and gold of 90% and 97%, respectively for the Hughes Project, and 97% and 97%, respectively, for the Mogollon Project. $AgEq\ Factor = (Ag\ Price / Au\ Price) \times (Ag\ Rec / Au\ Rec)$; $g\ AgEq/t = g\ Ag/t + (g\ Au/t / AgEq\ Factor)$.
5. Rounding as required by reporting guidelines may result in apparent discrepancies between tonnes, grade, and contained metal content.
6. Mineral resources are not mineral reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. There is no certainty that all or any part of the mineral resources estimated will be converted into mineral reserves. The quantity and grade of reported Inferred mineral resources in this estimation are uncertain in nature and there has been insufficient exploration to define these Inferred mineral resources as Indicated mineral resources. It is uncertain if further exploration will result in upgrading them to the Indicated mineral resources category.
7. The Mineral Resources were estimated in accordance with the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (CIM), CIM Standards on Mineral Resources and Reserves, Definitions (2014) and Best Practices Guidelines (2019) prepared by the CIM Standing Committee on Reserve Definitions and adopted by the CIM Council.
8. There are no known environmental, permitting, legal, or other factors which could materially affect the MREs.
9. $AgEq^{**} US\$20/oz\ Ag, US\$1800/oz\ Au$, with metallurgical recoveries of Ag - 90% and Au - 95%. $AgEq = (Ag\ grade \times Ag\ recovery)^A (Au\ grade \times Au\ recovery) \times (Au\ price / Ag\ price)^B$.